

To whom it may concern:

I have been an XM Satellite subscriber for over a year now. In that time I have enjoyed it's many channels and services but I have also enjoyed other media forms. XM provides me with more options and more in detail programming than I can get on traditional radio but, I have not stopped listening to AM/FM radio, watching television, and/or reading newspapers to get information. I use my XM radio to supplement the other forms of media. I have used the traffic channels on various occasions. I was able to be informed of a major car accident and highway tie up several minutes before any of the local radio stations mentioned the highway tie up. This was very benifical to me as I was able to plan an alternate route and still make it to the meeting I was attended.

As I said before I have been a subscriber for over a year now and, I do mean a subscriber. I pay for this service and because I pay for this service I do expect it to be more accurate and updated than the free radio stations. But, even though I do pay for this service I do not exclusivley use this service. Because people like myself pay to have this service I do not feel it should be up to anyone but us the subscribers and the parent comapny (XM) to decide the content of these stations. I do not believe the National Association of Broadcasters should have the right to determine what I listen to on my radio when I am paying for the service. XM Satellite Radio provides goods and services that are not offered through any other means.

Therefore, I am respectfully urging you, the FCC, to reject the National Association of Broadcasters petition 04-160. Please ensure our rights and privileges to decide on our own to pay or not to pay for these services and to continue with the programming we currently have. Please ensure that we, as consumers, have the ability to obatain the programming that we deserve and pay for from XM Satellite Radio.

Thank you very much,
Julie Forsee